

## Legitimate Interests Assessment Form

Although it is not compulsory for an organisation to have a written record of an LIA, the ICO does state that this proc ess does help demonstrate compliance in line with the College's accountability obligations under Articles 5(2) and 24 of the GDPR.

The LIA is a light-touch test complete in three parts.

| Department Name   | College Office  |
|---|---|
| Data Subject(s)   | Students  |
| Nature of personal data processed                         | Personal details of students from point of application, including health, visa<br>and fee status information; records of on-course academic attendance and<br>progress, including reasonable adjustments; records of participation in the<br>College's governance and cultural life; data arising from financial and<br>welfare support; information on meals and accommodation; contact details<br>for emergencies. See <u>Students ROPA</u> for full details. |
| Special category, criminal offence<br>or children's data? | Yes. Gender and, if disclosed, gender re-assignment. Personal details may<br>include health and disability information. In very rare cases students will be<br>required by the University to declare unspent criminal convictions.  |
| Are the data assets recorded in the ROPAs?                | Yes   |
| Department Head   | Alisdair Rogers   |
| Assessment Owner  | Alisdair Rogers   |
| Assessment Start date                                     | 21 January 2019   |
| Decision Date   |   |

| 1) Purpose: identify the legitimate interest(s). Consider:  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Why do you want to process the<br>data – what are you trying to<br>achieve?                       | The main aims of processing the data are: 1) to comply with the relevant<br>legislation on equality, freedom of speech, harassment, health & safety,<br>immigration, safeguarding, and data protection; 2) to be able to make<br>reasonable decisions on individuals' behalf about teaching, accommodation,<br>financial and welfare support; 3) to enable effective communication within<br>the College |  |
| Who benefits from the processing? In what way?  | The College benefits from complying with national legislation. The College community (which includes around 600 in residence) benefits from safeguarding and, more widely, being protected from the (admittedly very low) risk of harm from members convicted of criminal offences. Individual students benefit from being provided with appropriate support to learning and well-being.                 |  |
| Are there any wider public benefits to the processing?  | Yes, including statutory compliance  |  |
| How important are those benefits?   | Substantially important. Several activities are absolutely necessary for the functioning of the College as an educational institution.   |  |
| What would the impact be if you couldn't go ahead?  | The College would not be able to carry out many of its basic functions or comply with national legislation.  |  |
| Would your use of the data be<br>unethical or unlawful in any way?                                | Νο   |  |
| Have you considered any Tribunal<br>judgements/case law in<br>identifying 'legitimate interests'? | Νο   |  |



| 2) Necessity: apply the necessity t                       | 2) Necessity: apply the necessity test. Consider:  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Does this processing actually help                        | Yes  |  |  |
| to further that interest?                                 |  |  |  |
| Is it a reasonable way to go about                        | Yes  |  |  |
| it?   |  |  |  |
| Is there another less intrusive                           | No, processing is necessary for the fulfilment of the College's functions.   |  |  |
| way to achieve the same result?                           |  |  |  |
| 3) Balancing test: Do the Individ                         | 3) Balancing test: Do the Individual's interests override the legitimate interest?                                   |  |  |
| What is the nature of your                                | Students are admitted to courses varying in length from nine months to four  |  |  |
| relationship with the individual?                         | or more years. In some cases, where an undergraduate proceeds to a   |  |  |
| Is it pre-existing and have you                           | graduate degree or, because of suspension of status, the relationship lasts  |  |  |
| used their data previously?                               | longer. During this time a student may reside in college-owned   |  |  |
|   | accommodation and participate in a range of college-based activities.  |  |  |
|   | Depending on their course the College may play a significant role in the   |  |  |
|   | provision of teaching or research support.   |  |  |
| How has the data been obtained?                           | Data is obtained from the individual, schools and referees, the University of  |  |  |
| If supplied from a third party                            | Oxford, and, in some instances, third parties. We believe that third party   |  |  |
| what did they tell the individual                         | data is compliant with the requirements of data protection.  |  |  |
| about reuse?  |  |  |  |
| Do you have the means and                                 | Yes.   |  |  |
| processes to keep the                                     |  |  |  |
| information up to date?                                   |  |  |  |
| Is any of the data particularly                           | Yes. Information on disability and health and, more rarely, gender   |  |  |
| sensitive or private?                                     | reassignment and criminal conviction.  |  |  |
| Would people expect you to use                            | Yes  |  |  |
| their data in this way?                                   |  |  |  |
| Are you happy to explain it to                            | Yes  |  |  |
| them?   | Levely no. The majority of energial estadors is displaced at the   |  |  |
| Are some people likely to object<br>or find it intrusive? | Largely, no. The majority of special category data is disclosed at the   |  |  |
|   | individuals' discretion or is necessary for statutory compliance. Where possible, the College does offer an opt-out. |  |  |
| What is the possible impact on                            | The impact is beneficial to the individual. In the case of health and disability                                     |  |  |
| the individual?   | information it is used to make reasonable adjustments to, for example,   |  |  |
|   | accommodation or examination arrangements.   |  |  |
| How big an impact might it have                           | The impact may be small but nonetheless significant in, for example,   |  |  |
| on them?  | University examinations  |  |  |
| Are you processing children's                             | Yes, occasionally undergraduates are under 18 when they start their course.  |  |  |
| data?   | However, they would be regarded as competent under DPA legislation to  |  |  |
|   | exercise their own data protection rights.   |  |  |
| Are any of the individuals                                | Some students may have mental health issues or disabilities.   |  |  |
| vulnerable in any other way?                              | ,  |  |  |
| Can you adopt any safeguards                              | Yes, which have been adopted. These include restricting access, redaction,   |  |  |
| and technical measures to                                 | anonymization, encryption, and password protection.  |  |  |
| minimise the impact?                                      |  |  |  |
| Can you offer an opt-out?                                 | No, except in relatively minor cases, e.g. public statement of examination   |  |  |
|   | results. Personal data on health and disability is disclosed only at individuals'                                    |  |  |
|   | discretion.  |  |  |



| Decision                    |                   |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Outcome Date                | 30 January 2019   |
| Outcome                     | Approved          |
| How was the outcome decided | Reviewed by DPO   |
| Further Action              | N/A               |
| Next Review date            | January 2020      |
| Agreed by                   | Roger Boden (DPO) |